

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ALAN W FINK 8131 MIRANDA LN SANDY UT 84093

## **COPY MAILED**

DEC 2 8 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Alan Walter Fink

Application No. 09/996,530 : ON PETITION

Filed: November 28, 2001

Attorney Docket No. Alan. P001

This is a decision on the Petition for Revival of an Application Abandoned Unavoidably Under 37 CFR 1.137(a), filed November 20, 2006 (Certificate of Mailing dated November 17, 2006).

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is **DISMISSED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely file a proper response to the final Office action mailed August 25, 2005. This Office action set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. No reply having been received, the above-identified application became abandoned on November 26, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 6, 2006.

## Consideration of petition under 1.137(a) (Unavoidable Delay)

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(1); (3) a showing to the

satisfaction of the Commissioner that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c).

Decisions on reviving abandoned applications on the basis of "unavoidable" delay have adopted the reasonably prudent person standard in determining if the delay was unavoidable: "The word 'unavoidable' ... is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business."

Moreover, delay resulting from the lack of knowledge or improper application of the patent statutes, rules of practice or the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, however, does not constitute "unavoidable" delay.<sup>2</sup>

Petitioner states that he was not able to file a timely proper reply because he did not have the funds to hire a patent attorney. Moreover, petitioner did not understand patent practice, and thought he "would have to argue new claims for each rejected claim".

Petitioner has not demonstrated that the entire period of delay was unavoidable. First, a showing of "unavoidable" delay based upon financial hardship must be supported by documentation (e.g. copies of bankruptcy papers, income tax returns, W-2s, copies of bills, etc.). Petitioner has supplied no such documentation. However, even if petitioner were able to demonstrate financial hardship, hiring the services of a patent attorney is not necessary to filing a proper response to a final Office action. An applicant who can not afford the services of a patent attorney or agent is expected to familiarize himself with the applicable patent statutes and rules.

In re Mattulath, 38 App. D.C. 497, 514-15 (1912)(quoting Ex parte Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31, 32-33 (1887)); see also Winkler v. Ladd, 221 F. Supp. 550, 552, 138 U.S.P.Q. 666, 167-68 (D.D.C. 1963), aff'd, 143 U.S.P.Q. 172 (D.C. Cir. 1963); Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141 (1913).

See <u>Haines</u>, 673 F. Supp. at 317, 5 U.S.P.Q. 2d at 1132; <u>Vincent v. Mossinghoff</u>, 230 U.S.P.Q. 621, 624 (D.D.C. 1985); <u>Smith v. Diamond</u>, 209 U.S.P.Q. 1091 (D.D.C. 1981); <u>Potter v. Dann</u>, 201 U.S.P.Q. 574 (D.D.C. 1978); <u>Ex parte Murray</u>, 1891 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 130, 131 (1891).

If petitioner can not establish that the entire period of delay was unavoidable, petitioner may revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), unintentional delay. A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m) (currently \$750 for a small entity); (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

A copy of the form for a petition to revive due to unintentional delay is enclosed for petitioner's convenience.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136, an extension of time must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum period obtainable for reply to avoid abandonment. Accordingly, since the \$510 extension of time fee submitted with the petition on November 20, 2006 was subsequent to the maximum period obtainable for reply (February 25, 2006), this fee will be refunded to petitioner under separate cover.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571)273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3207.

left by

Cliff Congo Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Enc: PTO/SB/64 (2 pages)

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR NED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR		Docket Number (Optional)
First named	inventor:		
Application N	No.:	Art Unit:	
Filed:		Examiner:	
Title:			
Attention: Office of Petitions  Mail Stop Petition  Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450  Alexandria, VA 22313-1450			
FAX (571) 273-8300  NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282.			
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.			
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION			
<ul> <li>NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: <ol> <li>Petition fee;</li> <li>Reply and/or issue fee;</li> <li>Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and</li> </ol> </li> <li>Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.</li> </ul>			
1.Petition fee Small entity-fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.  Other than small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m))			
Reply and/or fee     A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of(identify type of reply):			
	has been filed previously on is enclosed herewith.		
В.	The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith.	·	

[Page 1 of 2]

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). 4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).1 **WARNING:** Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available. Signature Date Typed or printed name Registration Number, if applicable Address Telephone Number Address Enclosures: Fee Payment Reply **Terminal Disclaimer Form** Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay Other: CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)] I hereby certify that this correspondence is being: Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300. Date Signature Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

## **Privacy Act Statement**

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.